

A NOVEL NONCOMMUTATIVE KDV-TYPE EQUATION, ITS RECURSION OPERATOR, AND SOLITONS.

SANDRA CARILLO^{a,b}, MAURO LO SCHIAVO^a, EGMONT PORTEN^{c,d}, CORNELIA SCHIEBOLD^{c,d}

^a Dipartimento SBAI, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

^b I.N.F.N. - Sez. Roma1, Gr. IV - Mathematical Methods in NonLinear Physics, Rome, Italy

^c DMA, Mid Sweden University, Sundsvall, Sweden

^d Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Jana Kochanowskiego w Kielcach, Poland

ABSTRACT. A noncommutative KdV-type equation is introduced extending the Bäcklund chart in [4]. This equation, called meta-mKdV here, is linked by Cole-Hopf transformations to the two noncommutative versions of the mKdV equations listed in [22, Theorem 3.6]. For this meta-mKdV, and its mirror counterpart, recursion operators, hierarchies and an explicit solution class are derived.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q53; 46L55; 37K35.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative KdV-type equations; recursion operators; hereditariness, Bäcklund transformations; soliton solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of integrable systems in [14] was based on a Bäcklund chart comprising the KdV and modified KdV equations, the KdV singularity manifold equation (also known as UrKdV or Schwarz-KdV [25, 26]), the KdV interacting soliton equation [12] and the Harry-Dym equation. In the recent article [4] a noncommutative interpretation of this chart is suggested. We mention two major features contrasting with the commutative model:

1.) At the place of the usual mKdV, we proceed via two different noncommutative interpretations¹. The first is the *mKdV*

$$(1) \quad V_t = V_{xxx} - 3\{V^2, V_x\},$$

see [1] for an early appearance. The second noncommutative version of the mKdV equation, which is abbreviated by *alternative mKdV* in the sequel (*amKdV* in [4]),

$$(2) \quad \tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_{xxx} + 3[\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}_{xx}] - 6\tilde{V}\tilde{V}_x\tilde{V},$$

was first described by Khalilov and Khruslov [17].

2.) The noncommutative Bäcklund chain in [4] does not include the Harry-Dym equation. The difficulty is that the interpretation of the reciprocal transformation between the KdV interacting soliton and the Harry-Dym equation² requires substantially new ideas.

¹ Throughout the text, $[A, B] = AB - BA$ and $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ denote commutator and anti-commutator of A and B , respectively.

² This transformation is an extended hodograph transformation, which intertwines dependent and independent variables.

The relation between (1) and (2), as presented in [4], builds on work by Liu and Athorne [19], who showed that the associated scattering problems are related by a gauge transformation. The fundamental observation of the present work is that, on the level of evolution equations, this correspondence can be made more explicit by introducing an intermediate equation

$$(3) \quad Q_t = Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_x,$$

which we call *meta-mKdV equation*, to emphasize that it is somewhat *hidden* behind (1) and (2). Analogously we obtain the mirror version³ of (3) by reversing the order of multiplication in the nonlinear term. Those are linked to (1), (2) by various Cole-Hopf transformations. Including also the mirror meta-mKdV, we obtain the symmetric picture in Figure 1.

The extended Bäcklund chart is then used in the further study of the novel equations. More precisely, recursion operators are derived, and it is explained why these operators are hereditary. Note that hereditariness is much harder to verify directly in the noncommutative setting [24]. This leads to hierarchies of commuting symmetries with the Cole-Hopf links extending to each level of the respective hierarchies.

Moreover, we construct an explicit solution class of the meta-mKdV. Applying the Cole-Hopf link towards the mKdV, one recovers solutions which are already obtained in [6] and can be interpreted as noncommutative analogs of 1-soliton solutions. In contrast, the solutions derived by applying the Cole-Hopf link towards the alternative mKdV are new to the best of the authors' knowledge. The fact that the gauge transformation in [19] becomes completely explicit for these solution classes is used to extend the construction to the entire hierarchies.

The article is organised as follows. In Section 2 it is shown that two different noncommutative interpretations of the Cole-Hopf transformation map solutions of the meta-mKdV to solutions of the noncommutative mKdV and alternative mKdV, respectively. In Section 3 we make the comparison with the commutative case, where the picture is slightly different since the two noncommutative mKdV's specialise to one equation, the standard mKdV. Building on the present work, the commutative case was further elaborated in [3]. It is interesting that the commutative meta-mKdV was also derived in the recent [2], from a completely different approach.

In Section 4 we give an explicit solution of the nc meta-mKdV, considered as an equation with values in some Banach algebra. As a corollary we obtain solutions of the nc mKdV's (1) and (2), which can be understood as algebra-valued analogues of the familiar 1-soliton solutions. In Section 5 we use the Cole-Hopf link between (1) and (2) together with a structure theorem of Fokas and Fuchssteiner to derive a recursion operator for the nc meta-mKdV. In a way comparable to earlier work in [6], we then treat the induced hierarchy in Section 6 and extend the solutions from Section 4 to all members of the hierarchy.

In Appendix A we give the computationally involved proof of Theorem 1. Appendix B is a concise introduction to general methods for recursion operators and Bäcklund transformations. In Appendix C the recursion operator of the nc mirror meta-mKdV is derived. Moreover, an alternative derivation of the recursion operators in Theorem 9 is given.

Acknowledgements

The financial support of G.N.F.M.-I.N.d.A.M., I.N.F.N. and SAPIENZA University of Rome, Italy, are gratefully acknowledged. C. Schiebold wishes also to thank S.B.A.I. Dept. and SAPIENZA University of Rome for the kind hospitality.

³ The term *mirror* has been used in a comparable way for the noncommutative Burgers equations in [18], see also [5, 8].




FIGURE 1. The meta-mKdV and its mirror equation: connecting the two different noncommutative interpretations of the mKdV equation.

2. A NOVEL EQUATION “BEHIND” THE TWO NONCOMMUTATIVE MKdV EQUATIONS

In this section we are concerned with the mathematical justification of Figure 1. Throughout the article, we understand evolution equations as (3) as equations for an unknown function $Q(x, t)$ taking values in a (possibly noncommutative) Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . If we consider Bäcklund transformations, like the two noncommutative interpretations of the Cole-Hopf transformation

$$(4) \quad C(Q) = Q_x Q^{-1},$$

$$(5) \quad \tilde{C}(Q) = Q^{-1} Q_x,$$

we will tacitly assume that the Banach algebra is the same for the involved equations.

The following is fundamental for the sequel.

Theorem 1. *Let Q be a solution of the meta-mKdV (3). Then*

a) $V = C(Q)$ is a solution of the mKdV (1),

b) $\tilde{V} = \tilde{C}(Q)$ is a solution of the alternative mKdV (2).

The proof requires longer computations and is postponed to Appendix A.

One gets a more complete picture by including the *mirror meta-mKdV equation*,

$$(6) \quad \tilde{Q}_t = \tilde{Q}_{xxx} - 3\tilde{Q}_x \tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}_{xx},$$

in which the factors of the nonlinear term in (3) appear in reversed order.

The next proposition establishes a direct link between the meta-mKdV (3) and the mirror meta-mKdV (6).

Proposition 2. *An invertible algebra-valued function Q is a solution of (3) if and only if $\tilde{Q} = Q^{-1}$ is a solution of (6).*

Proof. Let Q be a solution of the meta-mKdV (3), and $\tilde{Q} = Q^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_x &= -Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1}, \\ \tilde{Q}_{xx} &= -Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} + 2Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} \\ \tilde{Q}_{xxx} &= -Q^{-1} Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} + 3Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} + 3Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} \\ &\quad - 6Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This shows $Q(\tilde{Q}_{xxx} - 3\tilde{Q}_{xx}\tilde{Q}^{-1}\tilde{Q}_x)Q = -(Q_{xxx} - 3Q_xQ^{-1}Q_{xx})$, and similarly one verifies $Q\tilde{Q}_tQ = -Q_t$. As a result

$$\tilde{Q}_{xxx} - 3\tilde{Q}_{xx}\tilde{Q}^{-1}\tilde{Q}_x = -Q^{-1}(Q_{xxx} - 3Q_xQ^{-1}Q_{xx})Q^{-1} = -Q^{-1}Q_tQ^{-1} = \tilde{Q}_t.$$

showing that \tilde{Q} solves (6). The proof of the converse is symmetrical. \square

Now we can prove the counterpart to Theorem 1 for the mirror meta-mKdV (6).

Proposition 3. *Let \tilde{Q} be a solution of the mirror meta-mKdV (6). Then*

- a) $V = -\tilde{C}(\tilde{Q})$ is a solution of the mKdV (1),
- b) $\tilde{V} = -C(\tilde{Q})$ is a solution of the alternative mKdV (2).

Proof. Let \tilde{Q} be a solution of (6), and set $Q := \tilde{Q}^{-1}$. Then $\tilde{Q}_x = -Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}$, and therefore the relations

$$\begin{aligned} -C(\tilde{Q}) &= -\tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1} = -(-Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1})Q = Q^{-1}Q_x = C(Q), \\ -\tilde{C}(\tilde{Q}) &= -\tilde{Q}^{-1}\tilde{Q}_x = -\tilde{Q}^{-1}(-Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}) = Q_xQ^{-1} = \tilde{C}(Q) \end{aligned}$$

hold. Furthermore, Q is a solution of (3) by Proposition 2. Now Proposition 3 follows from Theorem 1. \square

3. THE COMMUTATIVE VERSUS THE NONCOMMUTATIVE BÄCKLUND CHART

Including the results from Section 2 into the noncommutative Bäcklund chart from [4], we arrive at the chart depicted in Figure 2. This chart starts with the KdV equation

$$(7) \quad U_t = U_{xxx} + 3\{U, U_x\},$$

and proceeds via (1), (3) and (2) – or (1), (6) and (2) – to the noncommutative counterparts of the KdV interacting soliton equation

$$(8) \quad S_t = S_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2}(S_xS^{-1}S_x)_x$$

and of the KdV singularity manifold equation

$$(9) \quad \phi_t = \phi_x\{\phi; x\},$$

where $\{\phi; x\}$ denotes the noncommutative Schwarzian derivative

$$\{\phi; x\} = (\phi_x^{-1}\phi_{xx})_x - \frac{1}{2}(\phi_x^{-1}\phi_{xx})^2.$$

The connecting Bäcklund links are given by

$$(a) \quad U = -(V^2 + V_x), \quad (b) \quad \tilde{V} = \frac{1}{2}S^{-1}S_x, \quad (c) \quad S = \phi_x.$$

Note that (a) is the noncommutative Miura transformation; the links (d), (\tilde{d}) stand for the Cole-Hopf transformation (4) and its mirror (5), compare also Theorem 1 and Proposition 3.

Since in the commutative case mKdV (1) and alternative mKdV (2) both reduce to the usual mKdV equation $v_t = v_{xxx} - 6v^2v_x$, it is instructive to spell out how the meta-mKdV

$$(10) \quad q_t = q_{xxx} - 3\frac{q_xq_{xx}}{q}$$

fits into the Bäcklund chart in [14].

By Theorem 1, the Cole-Hopf transformation $v = q_x/q$ maps solutions of (10) to solutions of the mKdV. Moreover one sees that $s = q^2$ transfers solutions of (10) to solutions of the KdV




FIGURE 2. KdV-type equations and their Bäcklund links: the non-commutative case.

interacting soliton equation (and vice versa as long as \sqrt{s} is defined). This means that we can split the Bäcklund link (b) into two parts, namely

$$(b1) \quad v = \frac{q_x}{q}, \quad (b2) \quad s = q^2.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{s_x}{s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(q^2)_x}{q^2} = \frac{q_x}{q} = v.$$

Hence we get the extension of the Bäcklund chart in [14] as depicted in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. KdV-type equations and their Bäcklund links: the commutative case.

Building on the present work, the commutative case is further elaborated in [3]. For an occurrence of (10) in a completely different context, we refer to [2].

4. NONCOMMUTATIVE ANALOGS OF SOLITONS

In the present section we obtain an explicit solution of the meta-mKdV (3) depending on two parameters in \mathcal{A} , the Banach algebra where solutions take values. Using Theorem 1, this solution is first transferred to the mKdV (1), giving new access to the soliton found in [9], see also [6] for the mKdV hierarchy. Secondly, we obtain the soliton for the alternative mKdV (2).

Proposition 4. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with A invertible, and let $L(x, t) = \exp(Ax + A^3t)B$. Then a solution of the meta-mKdV equation (3) is given by*

$$(11) \quad Q = (I - L)^{-1} A^{-1} (I + L)$$

on $\Omega = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid I \pm L(x, t) \text{ are invertible}\}$.

We will first show that

$$(12) \quad \tilde{Q} = (I + L)^{-1}A(I - L),$$

which is the inverse of (11), solves the mirror meta-mKdV (6), and then use the link between meta-mKdV and its mirror in Proposition 2. To this end, we need two ingredients. First we observe

Lemma 5. *The function (12) satisfies the equation $\tilde{Q}_{xx} = \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}_x$.*

Proof. Straightforward computations using the noncommutative product and derivation rules for inverses give

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_x &= ((I + L)^{-1})_x A(I - L) + (I + L)^{-1}A(I - L)_x \\ &= -(I + L)^{-1}L_x(I + L)^{-1}A(I - L) + (I + L)^{-1}A(-L_x) \\ &= -(I + L)^{-1}AL(I + L)^{-1}A(I - L) + (I + L)^{-1}A(-AL) \\ &= -(I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}(LA(I - L) + (I + L)AL) \\ (13) \quad &= -(I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_{xx} &= (I + L)^{-1}AL(I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA) \\ &\quad + (I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}AL(I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA) \\ &\quad - (I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}A(AL + LA) \\ &= (I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}(LA + AL - A(I + L))(I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA) \\ &= -(I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1} \cdot (I - L)A \cdot (I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA) \\ &= -(I + L)^{-1}A(I - L) \cdot (I + L)^{-1}A(I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA) \\ &= \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}_x, \end{aligned}$$

which is the claim. \square

The second ingredient is the close relation between (12) and the soliton

$$(14) \quad W = (I + L)^{-1}(AL + LA)$$

of the potential KdV equation

$$(15) \quad W_t = W_{xxx} + 3W_x^2$$

derived in [6, Corollary 9]. More precisely, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 6. *For \tilde{Q} , W as in (12), (14), we have $\tilde{Q} = A - W$.*

We are now in the position to prove Proposition 4.

Proof of Proposition 4. Note that Lemma 6 implies that (12) satisfies the potential KdV (15) with a minus sign in front of the nonlinear term, $\tilde{Q}_t = \tilde{Q}_{xxx} - 3\tilde{Q}_x^2$. To prove that \tilde{Q} solves the mirror meta-mKdV (6), it hence remains to rewrite the nonlinear term $\tilde{Q}_x^2 = \tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{xx}$ using Lemma 5. Finally, since $Q = \tilde{Q}^{-1}$, Proposition 4 follows from Proposition 2. \square

Using the Cole-Hopf transformations (4), (5) and Theorem 1, it is straightforward to derive solutions of the mKdV (1) and the alternative mKdV (2) from the solution in Proposition 4.

Corollary 7. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with A invertible, and let $L(x, t) = \exp(Ax + A^3t)B$. Then*

$$\text{a) } V = (I - L^2)^{-1}(AL + LA) \text{ is a solution of the mKdV (1),}$$

- b) $\tilde{V} = (I+L)^{-1}A(I+L)^{-1}(AL+LA)(I-L)^{-1}A^{-1}(I+L)$ is a solution of the alternative mKdV equation (2).

Proof. Let \tilde{Q} be given as in (12). Starting with the expression for \tilde{Q}_x derived in (13), cf. the proof of Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_x &\stackrel{(13)}{=} -(I+L)^{-1}A(I+L)^{-1}(AL+LA) \\ &= -(I+L)^{-1}A \left((I-L) \cdot (I-L)^{-1} \right) (I+L)^{-1}(AL+LA) \\ &= -\tilde{Q} \cdot (I-L^2)^{-1}(AL+LA).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_x &= -(I+L)^{-1}A(I+L)^{-1}(AL+LA)Q^{-1} \cdot Q \\ &= -(I+L)^{-1}A(I+L)^{-1}(AL+LA)(I-L)^{-1}A^{-1}(I+L) \cdot \tilde{Q}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete upon use of Proposition 3. \square

5. RECURSION OPERATORS

The aim of this section is to derive strong symmetries [11] (or recursion operators in the sense of [21]) of the meta-mKdV (3) and its mirror (6). A short summary of the related terminology is given in Appendix B. For more background information we refer to [10, 11], see also [24].

Recall that the recursion operator

$$(16) \quad \Psi(V) = (D - C_V D^{-1} C_V)(D - A_V D^{-1} A_V)$$

of the mKdV (1) and the recursion operator

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned}\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{V}) &= (D + 2C_{\tilde{V}})(D - 2R_{\tilde{V}})(D + C_{\tilde{V}})^{-1}(D + 2L_{\tilde{V}})D(D + C_{\tilde{V}})^{-1} \\ &= (\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - A_{\tilde{V}})\tilde{D}^{-1}(\tilde{D} + A_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - C_{\tilde{V}})\tilde{D}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

of the alternative mKdV (2) were derived in [16], see also [4, 6]. In (17) occurs the derivation⁴

$$(18) \quad \tilde{D} := D + C_{\tilde{V}}.$$

As usual, D stands for derivation with respect to x , C_U and A_U denote the commutator and anti-commutator with respect to U , and we will use L_U , R_U for left- and right multiplication with U , respectively.

Using a structure theorem by Fokas and Fuchssteiner [10] about transferring strong symmetries along Bäcklund transformations, we deduce the meta-mKdV recursion operator (3) from the Cole Hopf link in Theorem 1. Consider

$$B(Q, V) = VQ - Q_x,$$

which links the mKdV (1) and the meta-mKdV (3) according to Theorem 1. Computing the Fréchet derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}B_Q[\hat{Q}] &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \left(V(Q + \epsilon \hat{Q}) - (Q + \epsilon \hat{Q})_x \right) = V\hat{Q} - \hat{Q}_x = -(D - L_V) \hat{Q}, \\ B_V[\hat{V}] &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \left((V + \epsilon \hat{V})Q - Q_x \right) = \hat{V}Q = R_Q \hat{V},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the transformation operator

$$\Pi = B_Q^{-1} B_V = -(D - L_V)^{-1} R_Q.$$

We will need another representation of Π .

Lemma 8. *If $B(Q, V) = 0$, then the identity $(D - L_V)^{-1} R_Q = R_Q (D - C_V)^{-1}$ holds.*

⁴ That \tilde{D} is a derivation means that $\tilde{D}(UV) = \tilde{D}(U)V + U\tilde{D}(V)$.

Proof. Using the product rule $DR_Q = R_QD + R_{Q_x}$ and the fact that left- and right multiplication commute, we get

$$(D - L_V)R_Q = DR_Q - L_V R_Q = R_QD + R_{Q_x} - R_Q L_V = R_Q(D - L_V) + R_{Q_x}.$$

Since $B(Q, V) = 0$, we have $Q_x = VQ$ and therefore $R_{Q_x} = R_V Q = R_Q R_V$. This shows

$$(D - L_V)R_Q = R_Q(D - L_V) + R_Q R_V = R_Q(D - C_V),$$

which implies the lemma. \square

By the structure theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Q) &= \Pi \Psi(V) \Pi^{-1} \\ &= (D - L_V)^{-1} R_Q (D - C_V D^{-1} C_V) (D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) R_{Q^{-1}} (D - L_V) \\ &= R_Q (D - C_V)^{-1} (D - C_V D^{-1} C_V) (D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) (D - C_V) R_{Q^{-1}} \\ &= R_Q D^{-1} (D + C_V) (D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) (D - C_V) R_{Q^{-1}} \\ &= R_Q D^{-1} (D + C_{Q_x Q^{-1}}) (D - A_{Q_x Q^{-1}} D^{-1} A_{Q_x Q^{-1}}) (D - C_{Q_x Q^{-1}}) R_{Q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

is a recursion operator of the meta-mKdV (3). In the calculation above we have used Lemma 8 and the factorisation $(D - C_V D^{-1} C_V) = (D - C_V) D^{-1} (D + C_V)$.

This implies part a) of the following theorem. For a verification of part b) see Appendix C.

Theorem 9. *For the operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Q) &= R_Q D^{-1} (D + C_{Q_x Q^{-1}}) (D - A_{Q_x Q^{-1}} D^{-1} A_{Q_x Q^{-1}}) (D - C_{Q_x Q^{-1}}) R_{Q^{-1}}, \\ \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{Q}) &= L_{\tilde{Q}} D^{-1} (D - C_{\tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}_x}) (D - A_{\tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}_x} D^{-1} A_{\tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}_x}) (D + C_{\tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}_x}) L_{\tilde{Q}^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

it holds that

- a) $\Phi(Q)$ is a strong symmetry for the meta-mKdV (3),
- b) $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{Q})$ is a strong symmetry for the mirror meta-mKdV (6).

The next proposition explains the connection between D and the derivation \tilde{D} in (18). Denote by K_Q conjugation with Q , i.e.

$$K_Q = L_{Q^{-1}} R_Q.$$

Proposition 10 ([4, Proposition 3.3]). *For $V = Q_x Q^{-1}$ and $\tilde{V} = Q^{-1} Q_x$, we have*

- a) $K_Q D K_Q^{-1} = \tilde{D}$,
- b) $K_Q C_V K_Q^{-1} = C_{\tilde{V}}$ and $K_Q A_V K_Q^{-1} = A_{\tilde{V}}$.

In particular, $K_Q D^{-1} K_Q^{-1} = \tilde{D}^{-1}$ and $K_Q (D + C_V) K_Q^{-1} = \tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}}$, implying

$$K_Q D^{-1} (D + C_V) K_Q^{-1} = K_Q D^{-1} K_Q^{-1} \cdot K_Q (D + C_V) K_Q^{-1} = \tilde{D}^{-1} (\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}}).$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Q) &= R_Q D^{-1} (D + C_V) (D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) (D - C_V) R_{Q^{-1}} \\ &= L_Q \left(K_Q D^{-1} (D + C_V) (D - A_V) D^{-1} (D + A_V) (D - C_V) K_Q^{-1} \right) L_{Q^{-1}} \\ &= L_Q \left(\tilde{D}^{-1} (\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}}) (\tilde{D} - A_{\tilde{V}}) \tilde{D}^{-1} (\tilde{D} + A_{\tilde{V}}) (\tilde{D} - C_{\tilde{V}}) \right) L_{Q^{-1}} \\ &= L_Q \tilde{D}^{-1} (\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}}) (\tilde{D} - A_{\tilde{V}} \tilde{D}^{-1} A_{\tilde{V}}) (\tilde{D} - C_{\tilde{V}}) L_{Q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence conjugation gives the following alternative representation of the operators in Theorem 9.

Proposition 11. *Define the derivations $\mathbb{D} = D + C_{Q^{-1}Q_x}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{D}} = D - C_{\tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1}}$. Then the operators in Theorem 9 can be rewritten as*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(Q) &= L_Q \mathbb{D}^{-1} (\mathbb{D} + C_{Q^{-1}Q_x}) (\mathbb{D} - A_{Q^{-1}Q_x} \mathbb{D}^{-1} A_{Q^{-1}Q_x}) (\mathbb{D} - C_{Q^{-1}Q_x}) L_{Q^{-1}}, \\ \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{Q}) &= R_{\tilde{Q}} \tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbb{D}} - C_{\tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1}}) (\tilde{\mathbb{D}} - A_{\tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1}} \tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{-1} A_{\tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1}}) (\tilde{\mathbb{D}} + C_{\tilde{Q}_x\tilde{Q}^{-1}}) R_{\tilde{Q}^{-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark. It is worth mentioning that the strong symmetries one obtains transferring the recursion operator (17) to the meta-mKdV (3) and the mirror meta-mKdV (6) are precisely those given in the above proposition, see Appendix C.

Finally, we turn to hereditariness, an important concept introduced in [11]. Hereditariness of the noncommutative KdV recursion operator

$$\Phi_{\text{KdV}}(U) = D^2 + 2A_U + A_{U_x} D^{-1} + C_U D^{-1} C_U D^{-1}$$

is the main result of [24]. Another result from [10] ensures that hereditariness is preserved by Bäcklund transformations. Applying first the Miura transformation and then the respective Cole-Hopf link yields

Theorem 12. *The operators in Theorem 9 are hereditary symmetries.*

6. SOLVING THE META-MKdV HIERARCHY

Consider the *noncommutative meta mKdV hierarchy*,

$$(E_{2n-1}) \quad Q_{t_{2n-1}} = \Phi(Q)^{n-1} Q_x,$$

for $n \geq 1$, where $\Phi(Q)$ is the recursion operator given in Theorem 9. The base member ($n = 1$) is $Q_{t_1} = Q_x$, and the following argument shows that for $n = 2$ the meta-mKdV (3) is obtained:

Abbreviate $V = Q_x Q^{-1}$. As a first step, $(D - C_V) R_{Q^{-1}} Q_x = (D - C_V) V = V_x$. Second, since $D^{-1} A_V V_x = D^{-1} \{V, V_x\} = V^2$, we find $(D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) V_x = V_{xx} - 2V^3$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(Q) Q_x &= R_Q D^{-1} (D + C_V) (D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) (D - C_V) R_{Q^{-1}} Q_x \\ &= R_Q D^{-1} (D + C_V) (V_{xx} - 2V^3) \\ &= R_Q D^{-1} \left((V_{xx} - 2V^3)_x - [V, V_{xx}] \right) \\ &= \left((V_{xx} - 2V^3) - [V, V_x] \right) Q.\end{aligned}$$

Reinserting $V = Q_x Q^{-1}$ and computing the term in the large brackets explicitly, one obtains $Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x$, i.e. the right-hand side of (3).

Remark. Observe that this gives a heuristic access to the meta-mKdV (3).

The equations (E_{2n-1}) , $1 \leq n \leq N$, are regarded as a system of partial differential equations in the variables $t_1, t_3, \dots, t_{2N-1}$ (note that we as usual identify $t_1 = x$, $t_3 = t$). In the literature, it is customary to consider $\{(E_{2n-1})\}_{n \geq 1}$ as an infinite system for formal functions in infinitely many variables t_1, t_3, \dots . In our context we prefer to work with truncated expressions in finitely many variables.

The following theorem generalises Proposition 4.

Theorem 13. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with A invertible. Consider*

$$L_N(t_1, \dots, t_{2N-1}) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^N A^{2k-1} t_{2k-1} \right) B.$$

Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a solution of the system of the first N equations of the meta-mKdV hierarchy is given by

$$Q_N = (I - L_N)^{-1} A^{-1} (I + L_N)$$

on $\Omega = \{(x, t) \mid I \pm L_N \text{ are invertible}\}$.

The proof heavily relies on [6, Theorem 12], where it is shown that

$$V_N = (I - L_N^2)^{-1} (AL_N + L_N A)$$

solves the system of the first N equations of the noncommutative mKdV hierarchy $V_{t_{2n-1}} = \Psi(V)^{n-1} V_x$, $1 \leq n \leq N$, where $\Psi(V)$ is the recursion operator in (16). Then the Cole-Hopf transformation (4) is used to transfer this solution to the meta-mKdV hierarchy.

Proof of Theorem 13. To simplify notation, we suppress the index N in the rest of the proof. Set $V = C(Q)$, where C is given in (4). In the proof of Corollary 7, it has been verified that

$$V = (I - L^2)^{-1} (AL + LA).$$

By [6, Theorem 12], V is a solution of the mKdV hierarchy. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t_{2n-1}} &= (Q_x Q^{-1})_{t_{2n-1}} = Q_{xt_{2n-1}} Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{t_{2n-1}} Q^{-1} = R_{Q^{-1}} (D - L_V) Q_{t_{2n-1}} \\ &= \Pi^{-1} Q_{t_{2n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Pi = (D - L_V)^{-1} R_Q$. Hence,

$$\Pi^{-1} Q_{t_{2n-1}} = V_{t_{2n-1}} = \Psi(V)^{n-1} V_x = \Psi(V)^{n-1} \Pi^{-1} Q_x.$$

Recall from the construction of the meta-mKdV recursion operator preceding Theorem 9 that $\Phi(Q) = \Pi \Psi(V) \Pi^{-1}$. As a result,

$$Q_{t_{2n-1}} = \Pi (\Pi^{-1} \Phi(Q) \Pi)^{n-1} \Pi^{-1} Q_x = \Phi(Q)^{n-1} Q_x,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Finally, the solution given in Theorem 13 is mapped⁵ to a solution of the alternative mKdV hierarchy,

$$\tilde{V}_{t_{2n-1}} = \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{V})^{n-1} \tilde{V}_x,$$

$1 \leq n \leq N$, where $\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{V})$ is the recursion operator in (17). This leads to

Corollary 14. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 13 be satisfied. Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a solution of the system of the first N equations of the alternative mKdV hierarchy is given by*

$$\tilde{V}_N = (I + L_N)^{-1} A (I + L_N)^{-1} (AL_N + L_N A) (I - L_N)^{-1} A^{-1} (I + L_N)$$

on $\Omega = \{(x, t) \mid I \pm L_N \text{ are invertible}\}$.

⁵ Observe first that the Cole-Hopf transformations (4), (5) also map solutions of the base member of the meta-mKdV hierarchy, $Q_t = Q_x$, to solutions of the base members $V_t = V_x$ and $\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_x$ of the mKdV and the alternative mKdV hierarchies. Since the recursion operators are hereditary, a result in [10] then ensures that the Cole-Hopf links extend to all levels of the hierarchies.

Note that this also yields an alternative (less direct) proof of Theorem 1.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this appendix we give a direct verification that the Cole-Hopf transformations (4) and (5) map solutions of the meta-mKdV (3) to solutions of the mKdV (1) and the alternative mKdV (2), respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let Q be a solution of the meta-mKdV (3). As for (a), we need to verify that $V = C(Q) = Q_x Q^{-1}$ solves the mKdV (1). We compute⁶

$$\begin{aligned} V_x &= (Q_x Q^{-1})_x = Q_{xx} Q^{-1} - (Q_x Q^{-1})^2, \\ V_{xx} &= (Q_{xx} Q^{-1} - (Q_x Q^{-1})^2)_x \\ &= Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} - 2Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} + 2(Q_x Q^{-1})^3, \\ V_{xxx} &= Q_{xxxx} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad - 3Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad + 6Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} + 3Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} + 3Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad - 6(Q_x Q^{-1})^4. \end{aligned}$$

For the nonlinear term in (1) we get

$$\{V^2, V_x\} = Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} + Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} - 2(Q_x Q^{-1})^4,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} V_{xxx} - 3\{V^2, V_x\} &= Q_{xxxx} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad - 3Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad + 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} + 3Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we find

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= Q_{xt} Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} Q_t Q^{-1} \\ &= (Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x)_x Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} (Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x) Q^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since Q solves (3), and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= (Q_{xxxx} - 3Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} Q_x - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_{xx} + 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x) Q^{-1} \\ &\quad - Q_x Q^{-1} (Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x) Q^{-1} \\ &= Q_{xxxx} Q^{-1} - 3Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} - 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} - Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad + 3Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} + 3Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} \\ &= V_{xxx} - 3\{V^2, V_x\}. \end{aligned}$$

As for (b), one has to check that $\tilde{V} = \tilde{C}(Q) = Q^{-1} Q_x$ solves the alternative mKdV (2). Here we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_x &= (Q^{-1} Q_x)_x = -(Q^{-1} Q_x)^2 + Q^{-1} Q_{xx}, \\ \tilde{V}_{xx} &= 2(Q^{-1} Q_x)^3 - Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x - 2Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} + Q^{-1} Q_{xxx}, \\ \tilde{V}_{xxx} &= -6(Q^{-1} Q_x)^4 \\ &\quad + 3Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x + 3Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_x + 6Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xx} \\ &\quad - Q^{-1} Q_{xxx} Q^{-1} Q_x - 3Q^{-1} Q_{xx} Q^{-1} Q_{xx} - 3Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1} Q_{xxx} \\ &\quad + Q^{-1} Q_{xxxx}. \end{aligned}$$

⁶In the subsequent computations only the noncommutative product rule and derivation rule for inverses, $(Q^{-1})_x = Q^{-1} Q_x Q^{-1}$, are used.

For the nonlinear terms in (2) we therefore get

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}_{xx}] &= Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_{xxx} - Q^{-1}Q_{xxx}Q^{-1}Q_x \\ &\quad + Q^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_x + Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_x - 2Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_{xx}, \\ -2\tilde{V}\tilde{V}_x\tilde{V} &= 2(Q^{-1}Q_x)^4 - 2Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_x. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{xxx} + 3[\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}_{xx}] - 6\tilde{V}\tilde{V}_x\tilde{V} &= 6Q^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_x \\ &\quad - 4Q^{-1}Q_{xxx}Q^{-1}Q_x - 3Q^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_{xx} + Q^{-1}Q_{xxxx}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_t &= (Q^{-1}Q_x)_t = -Q^{-1}Q_tQ^{-1}Q_x + Q^{-1}Q_{xt} \\ &= -Q^{-1}(Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_x)Q^{-1}Q_x + Q^{-1}(Q_{xxx} - 3Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_x)_x \end{aligned}$$

since Q solves (1). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_t &= Q^{-1}Q_{xxxx} - 4Q^{-1}Q_{xxx}Q^{-1}Q_x \\ &\quad - 3Q^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_{xx} + 6Q^{-1}Q_{xx}Q^{-1}Q_xQ^{-1}Q_x \\ &= \tilde{V}_{xxx} + 3[\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}_{xx}] - 6\tilde{V}\tilde{V}_x\tilde{V}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX B. BACKGROUND ON SYMMETRIES AND BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATIONS

In this appendix, we will concisely review some general concepts for evolution equations, which are used throughout the article. The essence is to view an evolution equation as an ordinary differential equation with values in a suitable function space and to apply methods from differential topology and dynamical systems. We refer to [20] for an introduction to the general theory, and to [24] and the references therein for more details on applications relevant for the present article.

Our phase space will be a topological algebra \mathcal{F} of functions depending on $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and with values in some Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . An evolution equation can be viewed as an ordinary differential equation

$$(19) \quad U_t = K(U),$$

where the unknown function $U = U(t)$ takes values in \mathcal{F} and K is a vector field on \mathcal{F} , i.e. a mapping that associates to $U \in \mathcal{F}$ a vector $K(U)$ in the tangent space $T_U\mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F} at U . In the sequel, all vector fields are assumed to be smooth. The Lie bracket $[K, L]_{\text{Lie}}$ of two vector fields should not be confused with their commutator $[K, L]$, defined pointwise by $[K, L](U) = [K(U), L(U)]$, where we identify $T_U\mathcal{F}$ with \mathcal{F} and use the commutator in \mathcal{A} .

A vector field L is a *symmetry* of (19) if $[K, L]_{\text{Lie}} \equiv 0$. By an operator we mean a mapping Φ that maps $U \in \mathcal{F}$ to a bounded linear operator $\Phi(U) : T_U\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_U\mathcal{F}$ on the tangent space at U . Such a Φ is a *strong symmetry* of (19) (or recursion operator) if Φ maps symmetries to symmetries. As proved in [11], Φ is a strong symmetry of (19) if

$$\Phi'[K]V = K'[\Phi V] - \Phi K'[V]$$

is valid for every vector field V . An operator Φ is *hereditary* if

$$\Phi\Phi'[V]W - \Phi'[\Phi V]W$$

is symmetric in the vector fields V and W . Note that hereditariness does not depend on the underlying evolution equation.

If Φ is a strong symmetry, so also the powers Φ^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we get a hierarchy of vector fields $K_n = \Phi^n K$ which all commute with K . For Φ hereditary, it was proved in [13] that these

vector fields *all* commute pairwise. In all cases considered in the present article, the hierarchy can be extended taking as a base member $K_0(U) = U_x$, the symmetry expressing translation invariance.

Let \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be function spaces as above. In addition to (19), consider a second evolution equation

$$(20) \quad V_t = L(V),$$

for $V = V(t)$ with values in \mathcal{G} . Following [10], a smooth mapping

$$B : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

defines a *Bäcklund transformation* if

- 1) for any solutions $U(t)$, $V(t)$ of (19), (20), respectively, which are defined on an open interval I and satisfy $B(U(t_0), V(t_0)) = 0$ for some $t_0 \in I$, we have $B(U(t), V(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Such a Bäcklund transformation is called *locally invertible*, if

- 2) at every point $(U, V) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$, the partial derivatives B_U and B_V restrict to invertible linear mappings $T_U \mathcal{F} \times \{0\} \rightarrow T_{B(U,V)} \mathcal{H}$ and $\{0\} \times T_V \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_{B(U,V)} \mathcal{H}$, respectively. Here we use the obvious identification $T_{(U,V)} \cong T_U \mathcal{F} \times T_V \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$,

Let Φ be a strong symmetry of (19) and let B define a locally invertible Bäcklund transformation. Near (U_0, V_0) with $B(U_0, V_0) = 0$, we can solve $B(U, V) = 0$ for V by $V = V(U)$ with differential $dV = \Pi(U, V(U))$, $\Pi = -B_V^{-1} B_U$. Transforming Φ in the natural way gives an operator Ψ defined near V_0 by

$$\Psi(V) = \Pi(U(V), V) \Phi(U(V)) (\Pi(U(V), V))^{-1}.$$

Fokas and Fuchssteiner proved in [10] that Ψ is a strong symmetry of (20). Furthermore, if Φ in addition is hereditary, Ψ is hereditary, too. Finally, the correspondence generated by a Bäcklund transformation extends to hierarchies⁷.

Remark. a) In Section 5 and 6, we make formal use of these results in a mild sense. Instead of requiring local invertibility, we compute under the assumption that inverses of operators like D and $D + C_V$ exist.

b) Our short introduction to Bäcklund transformations is adapted to our needs. For a broader view on the topic we refer to the monographs [15, 23].

APPENDIX C. DERIVATION OF THE MIRROR META-mKdV RECURSION OPERATOR

In this appendix the proof of Theorem 9 b) is provided, and an alternative derivation of the meta-mKdV recursion operator is given. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 15. a) For $T = \mp S_x S^{-1}$, the identity $(D \pm L_T)^{-1} R_S = R_S (D \pm C_T)^{-1}$ holds.
 b) For $T = \mp S^{-1} S_x$, the identity $(D \pm R_T)^{-1} L_S = L_S (D \mp C_T)^{-1}$ holds.

Proof. a) is Lemma 8. b) Since $DL_S = L_S D + L_{S_x}$, and since left- and right multiplication commute, $(D \pm R_T)L_S = L_S(D \pm R_T) + L_{S_x}$. Using $T = \mp S^{-1} S_x$, we can replace L_{S_x} by $L_{\mp S T} = \mp L_S L_T$, and the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 9 b). Consider the Cole-Hopf link

$$B(\tilde{Q}, V) = \tilde{Q}V + \tilde{Q}_x$$

⁷ Actually, the arguments in [10] are given in the classical setting ($\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$). However, it is easily seen that everything remains valid for evolution equations with noncommuting dependent variables.

between the mKdV (1) and the mirror meta-mKdV (6), see Proposition 3. Computing the Fréchet derivatives

$$B_{\tilde{Q}}[\hat{Q}] = \hat{Q}V + \hat{Q}_x, \quad B_V[\hat{V}] = \tilde{Q}\hat{V},$$

we get $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{Q}) = \Pi \Psi(V)\Pi^{-1}$ with $\Pi = B_{\tilde{Q}}^{-1}B_V = (D + R_V)^{-1}L_{\tilde{Q}} = L_{\tilde{Q}}(D - C_V)^{-1}$, according to Lemma 15. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{Q}) &= L_{\tilde{Q}}(D - C_V)^{-1} (D - C_V D^{-1} C_V)(D - A_V D^{-1} A_V) (D - C_V) L_{\tilde{Q}^{-1}} \\ &= L_{\tilde{Q}} D^{-1} (D + C_V)(D - A_V D^{-1} A_V)(D - C_V) L_{\tilde{Q}^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

with $V = \tilde{Q}^{-1}\tilde{Q}_x$. \square

As a supplement we give an alternative derivation of the meta-mKdV recursion operator starting with the Bäcklund transformation

$$B(Q, \tilde{V}) = Q\tilde{V} - Q_x,$$

which links the meta-mKdV (3) to the alternative mKdV (2), see Theorem 1. In this case the Fréchet derivatives are

$$B_Q[\hat{Q}] = \hat{Q}\tilde{V} - \hat{Q}_x, \quad B_{\tilde{V}}[\hat{V}] = Q\hat{V},$$

yielding the transformation operator $\Pi = B_Q^{-1}B_{\tilde{V}} = -(D - R_{\tilde{V}})^{-1}L_Q = -L_Q(D + C_{\tilde{V}})^{-1}$, by Lemma 15. Hence a recursion operator for the meta-mKdV follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Q) &= \Pi \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{V})\Pi^{-1} \\ &= L_Q \tilde{D}^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - A_{\tilde{V}})\tilde{D}^{-1}(\tilde{D} + A_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - C_{\tilde{V}})\tilde{D}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{D} L_{Q^{-1}} \\ &= L_Q \tilde{D}^{-1}(\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - A_{\tilde{V}}\tilde{D}^{-1}A_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - C_{\tilde{V}}) L_{Q^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{D} = D + C_{\tilde{V}}$. Note that this is the representation of the meta-mKdV recursion operator given in Proposition 11.

An analogous derivation of the mirror meta-mKdV recursion operator from the alternative amKdV (2) using Proposition 3 gives

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{Q}) = R_{\tilde{Q}}\tilde{D}^{-1}(\tilde{D} + C_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - A_{\tilde{V}}\tilde{D}^{-1}A_{\tilde{V}})(\tilde{D} - C_{\tilde{V}})R_{\tilde{Q}^{-1}},$$

compare again Proposition 11.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Athorne and A. Fordy. *Generalised KdV and MKdV equations associated with symmetric spaces*. J. Phys. A **20** (1987), 1377–1386.
- [2] P. Basarab-Horwath and F. G\"ung\"or. *Linearizability for third order evolution equations*. arXiv:1612.0111
- [3] S. Carillo. *A novel KdV-type equation?* arXiv:1702.06874
- [4] S. Carillo, M. Lo Schiavo, and C. Schiebold. *Bäcklund transformations and non-abelian nonlinear evolution equations: a novel Bäcklund chart*. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12** (2016), 087, 17 pages.
- [5] S. Carillo, M. Lo Schiavo, and C. Schiebold. *Recursion operators admitted by non-Abelian Burgers equations: Some remarks*. To appear in Math. Comput. Simulations (2017).
- [6] S. Carillo and C. Schiebold. *Noncommutative Korteweg-de Vries and modified Korteweg-de Vries hierarchies via recursion methods*. J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), 073510, 14 pages.
- [7] S. Carillo and C. Schiebold. *Matrix Korteweg-de Vries and modified Korteweg-de Vries hierarchies: noncommutative soliton solutions*. J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), 053507, 21 pages.
- [8] S. Carillo and C. Schiebold. *On the recursion operator for the noncommutative Burgers hierarchy*. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **19** (2012), 1250003, 11 pages.

- [9] B. Carl and C. Schiebold. *Nonlinear equations in soliton physics and operator ideals*. Nonlinearity **12** (1999), 333–364.
- [10] A.S. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner. *Bäcklund transformations for hereditary symmetries*. Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Appl. **5** (1981), 423–432.
- [11] B. Fuchssteiner. *Application of hereditary symmetries to nonlinear evolution equations*. Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Appl. **3** (1979), 849–862.
- [12] B. Fuchssteiner. *Solitons in interaction*. Progr. Theor. Phys. **78** (1987), 1022–1050.
- [13] B. Fuchssteiner. *Linear aspects in the theory of solitons and nonlinear integrable equations*. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **60** (1991), 1473–1496.
- [14] B. Fuchssteiner and S. Carillo. *Soliton structure versus singularity analysis: third order completely integrable nonlinear equations in 1+1 dimensions*. Phys. A **154** (1989), 467–510.
- [15] C. Gu, H. Hu, and Z. Zhou. *Darboux Transformations in Integrable Systems. Theory and Their Applications to Geometry, Mathematical Physics Studies 26*, Springer, Dordrecht 2005.
- [16] M. Gürses, A. Karasu, and V.V. Sokolov. *On construction of recursion operators from Lax representation*. J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), 6473–6490.
- [17] F.A. Khalilov and E.Ya. Khruslov. *Matrix generalisation of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation*. Inverse Problems **6** (1990), 193–204.
- [18] B.A. Kupershmidt. *On a group of automorphisms of the noncommutative Burgers hierarchy*. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **12** (2005), 539–549.
- [19] Q.P. Liu and C. Athorne. *Comment on Matrix generalisation of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation*. Inverse Problems **7** (1991), 783–785.
- [20] J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu. *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*. Texts in Applied Mathematics 17, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [21] P.J. Olver. *Evolution equations possessing infinitely many symmetries*. J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), 1212–1215.
- [22] P.J. Olver and V.V. Sokolov. *Integrable evolution equations on nonassociative algebras*. Commun. Math. Phys. **193** (1998), 245–268.
- [23] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief. *Bäcklund and Darboux Transformations. Geometry and Modern Applications in Soliton Theory* Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2002.
- [24] C. Schiebold. *Structural properties of the noncommutative KdV recursion operator*. J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), 113504, 16 pages.
- [25] C. Weiss. *On classes of integrable systems and the Painlevé property*. J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984), 13–24.
- [26] G. Wilson. *On the quasi-hamiltonian formalism of the KdV equation*. Physics Lett. A **132** (1988), 445–450.